arXiv:1510.05589v1 [math.GN] 19 Oct 2015

Spaces ℓ-Dominated by I or $\mathbb{R}^*$

Paul Gartside[†] and Ziqin Feng[‡]

October 2015

Abstract

If X is compact metrizable and has finite fd-height then the unit interval, I, ℓ-dominates X, in other words, there is a continuous linear map of $C_p(I)$ onto $C_p(X)$. If the unit interval ℓ-dominates a space X then X is compact metrizable and has countable fd-height. Similar results are given for spaces ℓ-dominated by the reals.

1 Introduction

A space X is said to be ℓ–dominate another space Y if there is a linear continuous map of $C_p(X)$ onto $C_p(Y)$; and X is ℓ-equivalent to Y if there is a linear homeomorphism of $C_p(X)$ and $C_p(Y)$. (For any space Z, $C(Z)$ is the set of all continuous real valued maps on Z, and $C_p(Z)$ is $C(Z)$ with the topology of pointwise convergence. All spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff.) Extensive work has been done on the problems of which topological properties are preserved by ℓ-equivalence, and which spaces are ℓ-equivalent to standard spaces (see the surveys [1, 8]). For example in the first direction it is known that compactness, σ-compactness, hemicompactness, the k_ω property [2], and covering dimension [12], are preserved by ℓ-equivalence, while results of Gorak [6] and Matrai [9] give a characterization of those spaces ℓ-equivalent to the closed unit interval I. It seems natural to try to extend these results on ℓ-equivalence to the context of ℓ-dominance, in particular to determine which spaces are ℓ-dominated by the closed unit interval.

Theorem 1.

(a) If K is compact metrizable and has finite fd-height then the closed unit interval ℓ-dominates K.

(b) If a space X is ℓ-dominated by I then X is compact metrizable and has countable fd-height (equivalently, is strongly countable dimensional).

[*] **2000 Mathematics Subject Classification**: 54C35, 54E45; 26B40, 54F45.

Key Words and Phrases: function space, ℓ-equivalence, ℓ-dominance, fd-height, basic family.

[†] *Corresponding author* Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA, email: gartside@math.pitt.edu, tel: 412-624-7761, fax: 412-624-8397

[‡] Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA

We use this result to derive an analogous theorem for spaces ℓ-dominated by the reals, $\mathbb{R}$. Recall a space is submetrizable if it has a coarser metrizable topology. A k_ω sequence for a space X is an increasing sequence of compact subsets $(K_n)_n$ of X which is cofinal in the family of all compact subsets of X, and if A is a subset of X such that $A \cap K_n$ is closed in K_n for all n, then A is closed in X. A space is k_ω if it has a k_ω sequence. Note that k_ω spaces are k spaces.

Theorem 2.

(a) If X is submetrizable and has a k_ω sequence of compact sets each of finite fd-height then the reals ℓ-dominates X.

(b) If a space X is ℓ-dominated by $\mathbb{R}$ then X is submetrizable, has a k_ω sequence and is strongly countable dimensional.

Recall that a space is strongly countable dimensional if it is the the countable union of closed finite dimensional subspaces. We define fd-height as follows. Let K be a (non-empty) compact metrizable space. Define $I(K) = \bigcup\{U : U$ is open and finite dimensional$\}$, $K^{[0]} = K$, $K^{[\alpha+1]} = K^{[\alpha]} \setminus I(K^{[\alpha]})$, and $K^{[\lambda]} = \bigcap_{\alpha<\lambda} K^{[\alpha]}$ (for limit λ). Note that $I(K)$ is always open, and, hence all the $K^{[\alpha]}$ are closed, and are well-ordered by reverse inclusion. By Baire Category $I(K)$ is non-empty if K is strongly countable dimensional. Further note that $I(K) = K$ if and only if K is finite dimensional. From these observations we easily deduce that K is strongly countable dimensional if and only if $K^{[\alpha]} = \emptyset$ for some countable ordinal α. Further, when K is strongly countable dimensional, there is a minimal α' so that $K^{[\alpha']} = \emptyset$. We call α' the *fd-height* of K. For limit λ, $K^{[\lambda]}$ cannot be empty, so α' is always a successor, $\alpha' = \alpha + 1$, and $K^{[\alpha]}$ is compact metrizable and finite dimensional.

We remark that there are compact metrizable spaces with fd-height k for every natural number k. Indeed, let F_1 be any finite dimensional compact metrizable space (I^n, or just a single point), then F_1 has fd-height 1. The one point compactification, F_2, of $\bigoplus_n I^n$, has fd-height 2. Inductively, given F_k, a compact metrizable space of fd-height $k \geq 2$, it is well known that there is a metrizable compactification of $\mathbb{N}$ with remainder F_k, replacing each isolated point in this compactification with a copy of F_2, gives a compact metrizable space F_{k+1} with fd-height $k+1$.

Part (a) of Theorem 1 is established in Section 2. The proof that for every compact, metrizable space K with finite fd-height there is a continuous linear map of $C_p(I)$ onto $C_p(K)$ proceeds by induction on fd-height. To keep the induction going the linear maps need to have the stronger property of being c-good (defined and discussed below at the start of Section 2). In order to start (and end) the induction, c-good linear maps of $C_p(I)$ onto $C_p(K)$ are needed for *finite dimensional* compact metrizable spaces K. These come from Kolmogorov's solution of Hilbert's 13th Problem, which asks whether every continuous function of three variables can be written as a composition of continuous functions of two variables). Kolmogorov proved that for every $n \geq 2$ there are maps $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_r$ in $C(I^n)$ so that every f in $C(I^n)$ can be written in the form $f = \sum_i g_i \circ \phi_i$

of some g_i in $C(\mathbb{R})$, and further the ϕ_i can be written as a sum of functions of one variable. It is easy to see that the g_i can be chosen to coincide. Then the map $g \mapsto \sum_i g \circ \phi_i$ is a continuous linear map of $C_p(I)$ onto $C_p(I^n)$. With a sufficiently careful choice of the ϕ_i this map can be made to be c-good (Theorem 6). The authors have shown [5] that if a Kolmogorov type theorem holds for a space X then that space must be locally compact, separable metrizable and finite dimensional. Thus the linear maps witnessing part (a) of Theorem 1 (and Theorem 2) cannot come from a Kolmogorov type theorem.

Part (a) of Theorem 2 is established in Section 3 by careful gluing of continuous linear surjections coming from part (a) of Theorem 1.

In Section 4 we establish part (b) for Theorem 2. Our results also prove part (b) of Theorem 1, but Leiderman et al proved this in [7]. Baars [3] showed that if X ℓ-dominates Y and X is (σ-)compact then so is Y provided Y is a μ-space (bounded subsets are closed). We show (Lemma 10) that the same is true for hemicompactness and k_ω, under the same assumption on Y. We deduce (Lemma 11) that if X ℓ-dominates Y and X is k_ω, submetrizable and strongly countable dimensional then so is Y (with no restriction on Y). Since both $\mathbb{R}$ and I are k_ω, submetrizable and strongly countable dimensional, and I is additionally compact, part (b) of Theorems 1 and 2 both hold.

There remain two striking open questions:

Question.

(1) If K is compact metrizable and strongly countable dimensional then is K ℓ-dominated by I?

(2) If K is ℓ-dominated by I then does K have finite fd-height?

(Similar questions also pertain to spaces ℓ-dominated by the reals, but their solution should follow from the case for I combined with Theorem 9.)

In the proof of part (a) of Theorem 1 the constant c involved in the relevant 'c-good map' (see discussion above) increases unboundedly with the fd-height. This prevents the induction continuing transfinitely. The authors do not see how to avoid this, so we tentatively conjecture that Question (2) has a positive answer.

2 Constructing Spaces ℓ-dominated by I

2.1 c–Good and Other Linear Maps

Let K be a compact metrizable space. Note that in addition to the topology of pointwise convergence, $C(K)$ carries the supremum norm, $\|\cdot\|$. For any closed subspace A of X write $C(K;A) = \{f \in C(K) : f \restriction A = 0\}$. Of course $C(K;\emptyset) = C(K)$. Note that $C(X;A)$ is a closed subspace of $C_p(X)$, and hence is closed with respect to the supremum norm.

Let K_1 and K_2 be compact, and let A_1 and A_2 be closed subsets of K_1 and K_2, respectively. Let L a map of $C_p(K_1;A_1)$ to $C_p(K_2;A_1)$. Then L is *c-good* if L is a continuous linear map, $\|L\| \leq 1$ and for every f in $C(K_2;A_2)$ there is a

$g \in C(K_1; A_1)$ such that $L(g) = f$ and $\|g\| \leq c.\|f\|$. We call the last condition the 'small kernel' property. Obviously the small kernel property for L implies L is a surjection. An easy calculation gives:

Lemma 3. *For $i = 1, 2$ let $L_i : C_p(K_i; A_i) \to C_p(K_{i+1}; A_{i+1})$ be c_i-good. Then $(L_2 \circ L_1) : C_p(K_1; A_1) \to C_p(K_3; A_3)$ is $(c_1 c_2)$-good.*

We will also need Dugundji's Extension Theorem:

Lemma 4 (Dugundji)**.** *Let A be a closed subspace of metrizable X. There is a map $e : C_p(A) \to C_p(X)$ such that $e(f) \restriction A = f$, and such that e is linear, pointwise continuous, $\|e\| = 1$ and respects the convex hull.*

It follows that c-good maps between compact metrizable spaces are well behaved under restriction in the range and extension in the domain.

Lemma 5. *If $A_1 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K_1'$ and $A_2 \subseteq K_2' \subseteq K_2$ where all spaces are compact metrizable, and $L : C_p(K_1, A_1) \to C_p(K_2, A_2)$ is c-good, then $L' : C_p(K_1'; A_1) \to C_p(K_2'; A_2)$ is also c-good where $L'(g) = L(g \restriction K_1) \restriction K_2'$.*

Proof. As L is linear, L' is clearly linear. Since $\|L\| \leq 1$, we have that $\|L'\| \leq 1$ also. As L and restriction maps are continuous, the map L' is continuous.

We verify the 'small kernel' property for L'. First fix maps $e_1 : C_p(K_1) \to C_p(K_1')$ and $e_2 : C_p(K_2') \to C_p(K_2)$ with the properties guaranteed by Dugundji's Extension Theorem (Lemma 4). Take $f \in C_p(K_2'; A_2)$. Then $e_2(f) \in C_p(K_2; A_2)$ and $\|e_2(f)\| \leq \|f\|$. Since L is c-good there exists $g \in C_p(K_1, A_1)$ such that $L(g) = e_2(f)$ and $\|g\| \leq c.\|f\|$. Then we see that $e_1(g)$ is in $C_p(K_1'; A_1)$ and $L'(e_1(g)) = f$. Also $\|e_1(g)\| \leq \|g\| \leq c.\|e_2(f)\| \leq c.\|f\|$. Hence L' is c-good. □

2.2 Constructing c-Good Linear Surjections

Basic Families Give c-Good Maps

Theorem 6. *For any $c > 1$, and for each n, there is a c–good map $L_n : C_p(I) \to C_p(I^n)$.*

Proof. The argument uses results of Sprecher as presented in Braun & Griebel [4].

Fix n. Let m be such that $(2n + 1)/(m + 1) \leq 1 - 1/c = \eta$. Note that $0 < \eta < 1$. Let $\epsilon = 1/(m - n - 1)$. Then $\frac{m-n-1}{m+1}\epsilon + \frac{2n}{m+1} = \frac{2n+1}{m+1} \leq \eta$.

So according to [4] we get $\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_m$ from $C(I^n)$ with the following properties: given $f_0 \in C(I^n)$ there are g_q^k in $C(I)$ so that

- $g_q = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_q^k$ is in $C(I)$,
- $f_0 = \sum_{q=0}^{m} g_q \circ \phi_q$,
- $\|g_q^k\| \leq \frac{1}{m+1}\|f_{k-1}\|$, where for $k \geq 1$, $f_k = f_0 - \sum_{q=0}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{k} g_q^k \circ \phi_q$ (see Lemma 3.8 of [4]), and

- $\|f_k\| \leq \eta \|f_{k-1}\|$ for $k \geq 1$ (see Theorem 3.3 of [4]).

(Note that Braun & Griebel use r instead of our k, Φ_q^r for g_q^k, and ξ_q for ϕ_q.)

Pick pairwise disjoint closed non–trivial subintervals $I_0, \ldots, I_m$ inside I. Pick a homeomorphism $h_q : I \to I_q$. Let $\hat{\phi}_q = h_q \circ \phi_q$. Define $L_n = L : C_p(I) \to C_p(I^n)$ by $L(g) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{q=0}^{m} (g \restriction I_q) \circ \hat{\phi}_q$.

We show L is c-good in four steps. Clearly, (1) L is continuous and linear. And, (2) $\|L\| \leq 1$ because:

$$\|L(g)\| \leq \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{q=0}^{m} \|(g \restriction I_q) \circ \hat{\phi}_q\| \leq \frac{1}{m+1}(m+1)\|g\| = \|g\|.$$

Next we show (3) L is onto. Take any f in $C(I^n)$. Let $f_0 = (m+1)f$. Apply the Sprecher result to f_0 to get g_q's as above, so $f_0 = \sum_{q=0}^{m} g_q \circ \phi_q = \sum_{q=0}^{m} (g_q \circ h_q^{-1}) \circ \hat{\phi}_q$. Hence $f = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{q=0}^{m} (g \restriction I_q) \circ \hat{\phi}_q = L(g)$, where $g \in C(I)$ is $g_q \circ h_q^{-1}$ on I_q and otherwise interpolated linearly over I.

It remains to show (4) that L has the 'small kernel' property. Take f in $C(I^n)$ and g as in (3). Note that $\|g\| \leq \max \|g_q\|$. We show that the g_q satisfy $\|g_q\| \leq c\|f\|$, as required for 'small kernel'. Each $g_q = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_q^k$ where $\|g_q^k\| \leq \frac{1}{m+1}\|f_{k-1}\|$. Since $\|f_k\| \leq \eta \|f_{k-1}\|$, inductively we see that $\|g_q^k\| \leq \frac{1}{m+1}\eta^{k-1}\|f_0\| = \eta^{k-1}\|f\|$, and so

$$\|g_q\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|g_q^k\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta^k \|f\| = \frac{1}{1-\eta}\|f\| = c\|f\|.$$

□

Corollary 7. *For every $c > 1$ and compact, metrizable finite dimensional space K, there is a c-good map of $C_p(I)$ onto $C_p(K)$*

If K has dimension n, then it embeds as a closed subspace in I^{2n+1}. Hence we can apply Theorem 6 and Lemma 5.

c-Good Maps Exist For Large Enough c

Theorem 8. *Let K be compact metrizable and have finite fd-height, $k' = k+1$. Then there is a (8^{k+1})-good map of $C_p(I)$ to $C_p(K)$.*

In particular, the closed unit interval ℓ-dominates K.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the fd-height, k'.

Base Case: $K^{[0+1]} = \emptyset$. Then K is compact metrizable and finite dimensional, and so has (Corollary 7) an 8-good map.

Inductive Step: $K^{[k+1]} = \emptyset$**.** Then $K^{[k]}$ is compact metrizable and finite dimensional. Assume the claim is true for all compact metrizable spaces with fd-height $\leq k$.

Fix an extender e of $K^{[k]}$ in K. The map $H : C_p(K; K^{[k]}) \times C_p(K^{[k]}) \to C_p(K)$ defined by $H(g, h) = g + e(h)$, is an isomorphism. Fix a 2-good map $L_2 : C_p([2/3, 1]) \to C_p(K^{[k]})$. We show there is a 2.8^k-good map $L_1 : C_p([0, 1/3]) \to C_p(K; K^{[k]})$, for then $L : C_p(I) \to C_p(K)$ is 8^{k+1}-good where $L(g) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot H(L_1(g \restriction [0, 1/3]), L_2(g \restriction [2/3, 1]))$. To see this, first observe that L is certainly continuous, linear and has norm 1. We show it has the 'small kernel' property. Take any f in $C_p(K)$. Let $\hat{f} = 2f$. Let $f_1 = \hat{f} - e(\hat{f} \restriction K^{[k]})$ and $f_2 = \hat{f} \restriction K^{[k]}$. Note that $\|f_1\| \leq 2\|\hat{f}\|$ and $\|f_2\| \leq \|\hat{f}\|$. As L_1 is 2.8^k-good there is a g_1 such that $L_1(g_1) = f_1$ and $\|g_1\| \leq 2.8^k \|f_1\|$. As L_2 is 2-good there is a g_2 such that $L_2(g_2) = f_2$ and $\|g_2\| \leq 2\|f_1\|$. Let g in $C_p(I)$ be the continuous function which is g_1 on $[0, 1/3]$, g_2 on $[2/3, 1]$, and linearly interpolates between $g_1(1/3)$ and $g_2(2/3)$ on $[1/3, 2/3]$. Then $L(g) = (1/2)(f_1 + e(f_1)) = (1/2)(\hat{f} - e(\hat{f} \restriction K^{[k]}) + e(\hat{f} \restriction K^{[k]})) = f$. And, $\|g\| = \max(\|g_1\|, \|g_2\|) \leq \max(2.8^k \|f_1\|, 2\|f_2\|) \leq 2.8^k.2\|\hat{f}\| \leq 2.8^k.2.2\|f\| = 8^{k+1}\|f\|$.

The map $g \mapsto (1/2)(g - c_{g(0)})$, where c_t is the constant t function, from $C_p(I)$ to $C_p(I; \{0\})$ is clearly 2-good. So it suffices, by Lemma 3, to find an 8^k-good map $L_0 : C_p(I; \{0\}) \to C_p(K; K^{[k]})$.

Let $U = K \setminus K^{[k]}$. Find a countable locally finite (in U) open cover of U, $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n\}_n$, where each closed set $C_n = \overline{U_n}$ is contained in U, and a partition of unity $\{p_n\}_n$, (so each p_n is continuous, maps into $[0, 1]$ and $\sum_n p_n = 1$), such that the support of p_n is contained in U_n. We can suppose each p_n is in $C_p(K; K^{[k]})$ (and not just $C_p(U)$).

Fix for the moment n. Then C_n is compact metrizable and has fd-height $\leq k$. So by inductive hypothesis there is a 8^k-good map $l'_n : C_p(I_n) \to C_p(C_n)$ where $I_n = [(3/2)(1/2^n), 1/2^{n-1}]$. Fix an extender e_n for C_n in K. Let $l_n = e_n \circ l'_n : C_p(I_n) \to C_p(K)$. Note that if g_n is in $C_p(I_n)$ then $l_n(g_n).p_n$ is equal to $l'_n(g_n).p_n$ on C_n, and is zero outside C_n.

Now we can define $L'_0 : C_p(\bigoplus_n I_n \cup \{0\}; \{0\}) \to C_p(K; K^{[k]})$ by $L'_0(g) = \sum_n l_n(g_n).p_n$ where $g_n = g \restriction I_n$. Define L_0 by $L_0(g) = L'_0(g \restriction (\bigoplus_n I_n \cup \{0\}))$. Once we have checked that L'_0 is well-defined and 8^k-good, then L_0 is as required by Lemma 5.

Certainly $L'_0(g)$ exists, maps K into $\mathbb{R}$ and is zero on $K^{[k]}$. Also $L'_0(g)$ is continuous: if $g \in C_p(\bigoplus_n I_n \cup \{0\}; \{0\})$ then $\|g_n\| \to 0$, so $\|l_n(g_n)\| \to 0$, and – remembering that the p_n's form a partition of unity – continuity of $L'_0(g)$ follows.

Since the l_n's are linear, so is L'_0. Next we check L'_0 is continuous. It suffices to check continuity at $\mathbf{0}$. Take subbasic $B_\epsilon(\mathbf{0}, \{x_0\}) = \{f \in C_p(K; K^{[k]}) : |f(x_0)| < \epsilon\}$. If x_0 is in $K^{[k]}$ there is nothing to check. So suppose x_0 is in U. Then there is an open $V \ni x_0$ such that V meets $U_{n_1}, \ldots, U_{n_r}$ from $\mathcal{U}$, and no others. Note $x_0 \notin C_n = \overline{U_n}$ if $n \neq n_i$ for some i. For $i = 1, \ldots, r$, as $l'_{n_i} : C_p(I_{n_i}) \to C_p(C_{n_i})$ is 8^k-good, there is $\delta_i > 0$ and finite F_i such that

$l_{n_i}(B_{\delta_i}(\mathbf{0}, F_i)) \subseteq B_\epsilon(\mathbf{0}, \{x_0\})$. Then $\delta = \min_i \delta_i$ and $F = \bigcup_i F_i$ work for L'_0. To see this, take $g \in B_\delta(\mathbf{0}, F) \cap C_p(\bigoplus_n I_n \cup \{0\}; \{0\})$ and let $g_n = g \restriction I_n$. We see that $g_{n_i} \in B_{\delta_i}(\mathbf{0}, F_i)$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$, hence $|\ell_{n_i}(g_{n_i})(x_0)| < \epsilon$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Also, since $x_0 \notin C_n$ if $n \neq n_i$ for some i, $p_n(x_0) = 0$ if $n \neq n_i$ for some i. Therefore, we have that $|L'_0(g)(x_0)| = |\sum_n \ell_n(g_n)(x_0).p_n(x_0)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^r |\ell_{n_i}(g_{n_i})(x_0).p_{n_i}(x_0)| < \epsilon$.

Since each l_n has norm ≤ 1, so does L'_0. Finally, take any f in $C_p(K; K^{[k]})$. For each n let $f_n = f \restriction C_n$. Since $f \restriction K^{[k]}$ is identically zero, $\|f_n\| \to 0$. As l'_n is 8^k-good, we can pick g_n in $C_p(I_n)$ such that $l'_n(g_n) = f_n$ and $\|g_n\| \leq (8^k)\|f_n\|$. Let g be the function which coincides with g_n on I_n, is zero at zero, and otherwise linearly interpolate between the endpoints of the I_n's. Since $\|g_n\| \leq 8^k\|f_n\|$ and $\|f_n\| \to 0$ the function g is continuous. (This is the critical use of the 'small kernel' property. Without it the g_n's could have norms not converging to zero, and g would not be continuous.) Now $L'_0(g) = f$ (here we use the fact that the p_n's are a partition of unity, C_n is contained in the support of p_n and $l_n(g_n).p_n$ coincides with $l'_n(g_n).p_n$ on C_n) and $\|g\| \leq (8^k)\|f\|$. □

3 Constructing Spaces ℓ–Dominated by $\mathbb{R}$

Since every compact metrizable space of finite fd-height is ℓ-dominated by I, part (a) of Theorem 2 follows immediately from the next result.

Theorem 9. *The reals, $\mathbb{R}$, ℓ–dominates a Tychonoff space X if X is submetrizable and has a k_ω sequence, $(K_n)_n$ where each K_n is ℓ-dominated by I.*

Proof. Suppose X is submetrizable and has a k_ω sequence, $(K_n)_n$ where each K_n is ℓ-dominated by I. Hence, $C_p(X)$ is linearly homeomorphic to $\varprojlim C_p(K_n)$, where the K_n's are directed by inclusion, and $f \in C_p(X)$ corresponds to $(f \restriction K_n)_n$ in the inverse limit. Let π_n be the projection map from $\varprojlim C_p(K_m)$ to $C_p(K_n)$.

Fix $\{I_n\}_n$ a discrete family of closed non–trivial intervals in $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\rho_n : C_p(\mathbb{R}) \to C_p(I_n)$ be the restriction map, $\rho_n(g) = g \restriction I_n$. This is, of course, continuous linear and onto. By Lemma 4, pick e_n^{n+1} a continuous, linear extender from $C_p(K_n)$ to $C_p(K_{n+1})$.

By hypothesis (all the K_n's are ℓ-dominated by I), there is a continuous linear onto mapping $L_1 : C_p(I_1) \to C_p(K_1)$. Take any $n \geq 2$. Recall that (using the extender e_{n-1}^n) $C_p(K_n)$ is linearly homeomorphic to $C_p(K_n; K_{n-1}) \times C_p(K_{n-1})$. Hence the composition of the hypothesized continuous, linear surjection of $C_p(I_n)$ to $C_p(K_n)$, with the projection onto the first factor of the above product, is a continuous, linear and onto mapping $L_n : C_p(I_n) \to C_p(K_n; K_{n-1})$.

Define $L : C_p(\mathbb{R}) \to \varprojlim C_p(K_n)$ as follows. We need to specify $\pi_n \circ L$ from $C_p(\mathbb{R})$ to $C_p(K_n)$ for each n. Recursively set $\pi_1 \circ L = L_1 \circ \rho_1$ and assuming that $\pi_n \circ L$ is defined, set $\pi_{n+1} \circ L = e_n^{n+1} \circ (\pi_n \circ L) + L_{n+1} \circ \rho_{n+1}$. Note that for every g in $C_p(\mathbb{R})$ and every n, $(\pi_n \circ L)(g)$ is a continuous map on K_n, and for $n \geq 2$, extends $(\pi_{n-1} \circ L)(g)$. Hence L is well defined.

Now we need to prove that L is continuous, linear and onto. For continuity and linearity, it is sufficient to verify that each $\pi_n \circ L : C_p(\mathbb{R}) \to C_p(K_n)$ is continuous and linear. This is straightforward by induction. Since L_1 and ρ_1 are continuous and linear so is $\pi_1 \circ L = L_1 \circ \rho_1$. Suppose inductively that $\pi_n \circ L$ is continuous and linear. By definition, $\pi_{n+1} \circ L = e_n^{n+1} \circ (\pi_n \circ L) + L_{n+1} \circ \rho_{n+1}$ is a sum of compositions of continuous and linear maps, and so has the same properties.

It remains to show that L is onto. Take any $(f_n)_n \in \varprojlim C_p(K_n)$ (so each f_n is continuous on K_n and f_{n+1} extends f_n). We inductively find a sequence $(g_n)_n$ where $g_n \in C_p(I_n)$ such that any (and there are many such) g in $C(\mathbb{R})$ with $\rho_n(g) = g_n$ for all n, satisfies $(\pi_n \circ L)(g) = f_n$ for all n, in other words $L(g) = (f_n)_n$.

To start the induction, as L_1 is onto, we can pick g_1 in $C_p(I_1)$ so that $L_1(g_1) = f_1$. Note that for any g in $C_p(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\rho_1(g) = g_1$ we have $(\pi_1 \circ L)(g) = f_1$.

Inductively suppose we have $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ where $g_i \in C_p(I_i)$ are such that any g in $C_p(\mathbb{R})$ with $\rho_i(g) = g_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ satisfies $(\pi_n \circ L)(g) = f_n$. As L_{n+1} is onto there is a g_{n+1} in $C_p(I_{n+1})$ such that $L_{n+1}(g_{n+1}) = f_{n+1} - e_n^{n+1}(f_{n+1} \restriction K_n)$. Now if $g \in C_p(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $\rho_i(g) = g_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$ then $(\pi_{n+1} \circ L)(g) = e_n^{n+1}((\pi_n \circ L)(g)) + L_{n+1}(g \restriction I_{n+1}) = e_n^{n+1}(f_n) + L_{n+1}(g_{n+1}) = f_{n+1}$ — as required. □

4 Properties Preserved by ℓ-Domination

The main aim here is to show that a space ℓ-dominated by $\mathbb{R}$ is k_ω, submetrizable and strongly countable dimensional.

In [3] Baars showed that if a (σ-) compact space X ℓ-dominates Y, then Y is (σ-) compact if and only if Y is a μ-space (closed bounded subsets are compact). We establish similar results for hemicompact and for k_ω spaces.

Lemma 10. *Suppose X ℓ-dominates Y via L. Then*

(i) if X is hemicompact then Y is hemicompact if and only if Y is μ,

(ii) if X is k_ω then Y is k_ω if and only if Y is μ.

Proof. First note that hemicompact spaces are normal, and so μ. Suppose now that X is hemicompact, and ℓ-dominates a μ space Y by L. The linear map L gives rise to the support map $y \mapsto supp(y)$ where $supp(y)$ is a finite subset of X whose most relevant properties here are that (1) if A is a bounded subset of Y then $supp(A) = \bigcup\{supp(y) : y \in A\}$ is a bounded subset of X (Arhangelskii [2]) and (2) if B is a closed bounded subset of X then $supp^{-1} B = \{y : supp(y) \subseteq B\}$ is a closed bounded subset of Y (Baars [3]).

Let K_n be be a countable cofinal sequence of compact sets in X. Define $K'_n = supp^{-1} K_n$. As Y is μ these K'_n's are compact. If K' is compact in Y, then there is an N so that the compact set $\overline{supp(K')}$ is contained in K_N. It is

easy to check that $K' \subseteq K'_N$ – so the K'_n's are cofinal in the compact subsets of Y.

Finally suppose X is k_ω and Y is μ. Since X is hemicompact, so is Y, Thus $C_k(Y)$ is metrizable, and Y is Dieudonne complete, so L is a continuous linear map of $C_k(X)$ onto $C_k(Y)$. Since Y is μ, $C_k(Y)$ is barrelled (the Nachbin-Shirota theorem [10, 13]). Recall [14] that $C_k(Z)$ is completely metrizable if and only if Z is k_ω. Thus $C_k(X)$ is a completely metrizable locally convex topological vector space, and it suffices to show that $C_k(Y)$ is also completely metrizable.

Let τ_k be the compact-open topology on $C(Y)$. Let τ_L be the quotient topology on $C(Y)$ induced by L. Then $(C(Y), \tau_L)$ is a completely metrizable locally convex space, because $C_k(X)$ has these same properties. We show that the identity map $i : (C(Y), \tau_k) \to (C(Y), \tau_L)$ is continuous, so the two topologies coincide. First note that i is almost-continuous: take any τ_L-neighborhood U of $\mathbf{0}$, which by local convexity we can assume is a τ_L-closed barrel, then the τ_k-closure of U is a τ_k-closed barrel, and hence (as $C_k(Y)$ is barrelled) contains a τ_k-neighborhood V of $\mathbf{0}$ such that $V \subseteq \overline{U}^{\tau_k} = \overline{i^{-1}U}^{\tau_k}$. Now i is linear, almost-continuous and has a closed graph, with range a completely metrizable linear space, and so by a standard Closed Mapping Theorem is continuous (see 14.3.4 in [11] for example). □

Lemma 11. *If X is a k_ω, submetrizable space and is strongly countable dimensional, and X ℓ-dominates a space Y via L, then Y is also a k_ω, strongly countable dimensional, submetrizable space.*

Proof. As X σ-compact it is submetrizable if and only if it is cosmic. So $C_p(X)$ is cosmic, and hence so is $C_p(Y)$ and Y. In particular Y is μ.

Hence, as X is k_ω from the preceding lemma, Y is k_ω and submetrizable, and L is open and continuous as a map of $C_k(X)$ onto $C_k(Y)$. As X and Y are k-spaces, the free locally convex topological spaces on X and Y both get their topologies as the dual space of $C_k(X)$ and $C_k(Y)$ respectively. Thus Y embeds as a closed subspace in $L(Y)$, which embeds as a closed subspace in $L(X)$. Also $L(X)$ is a countable union of closed subspaces homeomorphic to closed subspaces of spaces of the form $I^n \times X^n$ for some n, so as X is strongly countable dimensional, so is $L(X)$, and hence Y. □

References

[1] A. Arhangelski, C_p–Theory, pp 3–56 in Recent Progress in General Topology, Husek and van Mill eds., 1992, Elsevier

[2] A. Arhangelski, On Linear Homeomorphisms of Function Spaces, Soviet Math. Dokl. 25 (1982), pp 852-855

[3] J. Baars, A Note On Linear Mappings Between Function Spaces, Comment. Math Univ. Carolinae, 34, 4 (1993), pp 711-715

[4] J. Braun & M. Griebel, On a Constructive Proof of Kolmogorov's Superposition Theorem, Constructive Approximation, Volume 30, Number 3, December, 2009, pp 653-675

[5] Z. Feng & P. Gartside, Spaces with a Finite Family of Basic Functions, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, (2011) 43 (1): 26-32

[6] R. Gorak, Spaces u–Equivalent to the n–Cube, Top. Appl. 132 (2003), pp 17-27

[7] A. Leiderman, S. Morris & V. Pestov, The Free Abelian Topological Group and the Free Locally Convex Space on the Unit Interval, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 56, 1997, pp 529-538

[8] W. Marcizewski, Function Spaces, pp 345-370 in Recent Progress in General Topology II, Husek and van Mill ed.s, 2002, Elsevier

[9] T. Matrai, A Characterization of Spaces ℓ–Equivalent to the Unit Interval, Top. Appl. 138 (2004), pp 299-314

[10] L. Nachbin, Topological Vector Spaces of Continuous Functions, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 40 (1954), pp 471-474

[11] L. Narici & E. Beckenstein, Topological Vector Spaces, Marcel Dekker (1985).

[12] V. Pestov, The Coincidence of the Dimension dim of ℓ–Equivalent Topological Spaces, Soviet Math Dokl. 26, pp 380-283

[13] T. Shirota, On Locally Convex Vector Spaces of Continuous Functions, Proc. Japan Acad. Sci. 30 (1954), pp 294-298.

[14] S. Warner, The Topology of Compact Convergence on Continuous Function Spaces, Duke Math J., 25 (1958), pp 265-282